

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,078	11/21/2003	Shanti A. Cavanaugh	SPS-09	2270
25227	7590 07/05/2006		EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			VU, PHU	
	IS BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 300 MCLEAN, \	/A 22102		2871	
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/719,078	CAVANAUGH ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Phu Vu	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DI SISION OF THE MAILING DI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill appty and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
		•	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	attached astance office doubli for a list	C. IIIO COMMON COPICO HOL TOUCIVO				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔀 Inform	3) 🔀 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/13/04 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that the invention distinguishes over the prior art in that the applicant's device is a tunable filter wherein it maintains wavelength stability in response to temperature changes. However the limitation of being a tunable filter does not necessarily imply any type of temperature insensitivity (which is not claimed). The reference teaches a narrow band power splitter (filter) with tuning capabilities (see claim 4). The center point of the pass band is adjustable therefore the tunable limitation of the claim is met.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1, 2, 4, 6-12, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Singh US 6385217.

Regarding claim 1, Singh teaches a wavelength locker system, comprising, an optical tap placed in the optical path of a laser (fig. 7 64), for splitting a laser signal into a tapped signal and a laser output signal, a free space tunable filter accepted the tapped signal and producing two signals that add to form a representation of the tapped signal (see fig. 7 element 70), a photodetector (75 and 77) coupled to the tunable filter

Art Unit: 2871

for capturing both signals output from the said tunable filter (66) and producing 2 electrical signals that represent the power intensity of each of said two output signals from the tunable filter, and a electronics unit for (68) accepting the two electrical signals output from the photodetector and generating a feedback signal in response thereto.

Regarding claim 10, Singh teaches an optical tap (fig. 7 element 64) placed in the optical path of a laser transmitter for splitting a laser signal into a tapped signal and a laser output signal, a tunable filter (660 accepting the tapped signal and producing two electrical signals that add to form a representation of the tapped signal. The limitation of the filter tuned at an offset from a target lasing frequency at a point in which the two electrical signals output from the tunable filter to form a lock point pertains to a product by process limitation as adds no additional structure to the claim as the tuning point of tunable filter is by definition adjustable and tuning the filter one way rather than another does not affect the overall structure of the device. Singh also teaches the feedback signal in response to the electrical signals output from the liquid crystal (see element 68).

Regarding claim 2, Singh teaches the photodetector are integrated onto the tunable filter (see fig. 7).

Regarding claim 4, Singh teaches the tunable filter being a bandpass filter as any kind of filter can be interpreted as band pass filter since no specified frequency range is specified in the claim. The limitation of reflected signal and transmitted signal is met as the reference discloses the filter to be a narrow band pass filter. Since this

Art Unit: 2871

performs a split operation the two signals must be a rejected (reflected) and transmitted (pass) signal.

Regarding claims 6 and 8, Singh teaches the electronics for accepting the two electrical signals output from the photodetector and generating a feedback signal is a microcontroller (see fig. 7 element 68) and this microcontroller controls tuning of the tunable filter through the laser (see fig 7).

Regarding claims 7 and 18, Singh teaches the device can include a temperature sensor to achieve further stability and tenability (see column 8 lines 18-22).

Regarding claim 9, claim 9 pertains to a product by process limitation as adds no additional structure to the claim as the tuning point of tunable filter is by definition adjustable.

Regarding claim 11, Singh teaches the feedback signal is coupled to the laser to form a feedback loop (see element 68).

Regarding claim 12, the limitation of the feedback signal describes the direction and magnitude of the difference between the lock point and the laser lock point is inherent has the sole purpose of a wavelocker is to eliminate difference between the target point and the output. Therefore, this feedback signal controls the laser to minimize this difference therefore, the feedback signal must be based on these values at least indirectly.

Regarding claims 19-20, Singh teaches a method of wavelength locking, including the steps of providing a tunable filter that produces reflected and complimentary transmitted signal outputs (see fig 7 element 66), reading the

Application/Control Number: 10/719,078

Art Unit: 2871

temperature of a tunable filter (see column 8 lines 18-22), adjusting the tunable filter (feedback signal between 68 and 62, reading the outputs of the tunable filter (see element 64, 70 and 72/74), and generating a feedback signal in response to information collected in the previous step (see element 68). Singh also includes a division operation as the power tap (64) divides the signal into output and tapped signal.

Claims 3, 5, 13-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Singh in view of Patel US 5150236.

Regarding claims 3, 5, 13 and 16, Singh and Patel teaches all the limitations of claims 3, 5, 13 and 16 except the filter being a liquid crystal tunable filter/ etalon. Patel teaches a liquid crystal tunable filter / etalon with an epoxy seal (see column 3 lines 55-60) that achieves an optical band pass filter that can be tuned in a low powered, compact, rugged, and economical structure (see abstract). Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply a liquid crystal etalon to achieve low power, compactness, durability, and low cost.

Regarding claims 14, Singh teaches the device can include a temperature sensor to achieve further stability and tenability (see column 8 lines 18-22).

Claims 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Singh in view of Patel in further view of Buchwalter US 6104466.

Regarding claims 15 and 17, Singh teaches all the limitations of claims 15 and 17 except a metal seat or gasket structure surrounding an aperture of the liquid crystal. Buchwalter teaches a metal seal that eliminates gas permeability (see column 5 lines 24-26). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art to apply a metal seal to eliminate gas permeability between the liquid crystal cavity and outside gases.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu Vu whose telephone number is (571)-272-1562. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571)-272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,078

Art Unit: 2871

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phu Vu Examiner AU 2871

> ANDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER